The big picture

ANNA BRITNOR GUEST FinstSMM
examines the art of sales territory
management – a complex project with
an amazing choice of options

he division and allocation of sales territory is a minefield of controversy and internal competition. Yet, effective coverage of existing and prospective customers is shown time and again to be central to meeting company targets.

Responsibility for this lies throughout the organisation. Senior management and parent companies have a duty to clearly define market focus, financial expectations and vision for the future. Sales directors and managers need to translate these macro-goals into local strategies. Salespeople, in turn, need to focus their attention on building accounts, which not only produce profitable business but also help drive the company towards the longer term goals.

That said, many organisations have a laisez-faire approach to territory allocation. The core framework established many years ago – goes unchallenged though closer inspection reveals a variety of 'special case' anomalies. This is not surprising given that salespeople tend to be wary of account changes and sales managers know well the impact this has on motivation and performance.

So, how can companies allocate their accounts?

■ **Geography:** allocation of accounts based on geographic location


- PROS ✓ minimises travel time and cost if salesperson lives in the region
 - ✓ facilitates local marketing and networking activities
- cons × allocation of multi-site companies and subsidiaries, particularly where purchasing decisions are made across sites, can lead to a sense of isolation if salespeople are home-based

- Size or value: by size of organisation or potential value of business.
- PROS / encourages a focus on accounts likely to meet order value expectations
 - ✓ allows both small and large accounts to receive appropriate personal attention
- DDNS X is difficult to reallocate if expected revenue is not achieved or company no longer meets criteria
 - X ensuring that sales staff caring for smaller accounts do not feel inferior to colleagues managing larger customers is a challenge
- Vertical markets: assigning of accounts based on specific industry sector for example, insurance and utilities
- PROS ✓ a salesperson can thoroughly understand his customers' industry
- CONS X it leaves salespeople exposed to industry's economic fluctuations

■ New business versus account management:

traditional hunter/farmer split, targeting salespeople solely on new business or existing accounts

- PROS ✓ encourages high degree of focus
 - ✓ plays to strengths of different types of salespeople
- CONS X difficult, controversial handover of accounts from new business to account management team



Salespeople need focus... They also need clear direction to understand the company's short and long term challenges. Territory management plays a key role in facilitating this 33

× can leave existing account managers with limited scope for personal and account development if sales are based on a narrow product set

Products: focus on selling a subset of products

PROS ✓ allows salesperson to develop detailed 'expert' product knowledge

CONS X often requires several salespeople to work within a single account, sometimes causing duplication of effort, customer confusion and internal competition

Named accounts: assigning of specific targeted accounts

PROS ✓ clear focus and attention

CONS X can be demoralising if too restrictive

X requires a defined system for measuring potential

Alphabet: segmentation by first letter of customer name

PROS ✓ is easily understood

✓ is useful for assigning leads

CONS X can be regarded as unfair if disparity in quantity and perceived quality of accounts

X may be difficult to split when team grows

X does not play to individual or team strengths

■ Channel versus direct: separation of accounts into resellers and end-user customers

PROS ✓ it allows end-customers and resellers to receive attention based on different needs

> ✓ it offers the reseller protection that the supplier won't take the business direct

CONS X it can result in direct and channel teams working on same bid, leading to internal competition >>

Speaking from experience

Ian Wells, MD of portal specialists Mediapps, explained his preferred approach: "Assigning geographic areas tends to be most efficient for us in terms of managing travel time and cost but within this we also focus on the key 'named' accounts we want to win. There seems to be a trend in the IT industry to go vertical, but you can end up with your salespeople spending more time driving around

One customer might be buying from three different salespeople whilst other opportunities were missed

the country than in front of customers. Personally I'd rather build on wins in a particular industry by sharing the key success factors throughout the sales team and encouraging collaboration.

"Like many IT companies, we also sell both direct to end customers and through the reseller channel. Having separate teams for this definitely works but if there is a potential conflict I'd rather pay 'double bubble' and encourage everyone to work together than lose the business because of 'in-fighting'."

Antonia, an Advertising Sales Manager, has a similar model: "We have a mix of advertising agencies and end customers. The key agencies are allocated as named accounts and this ensures good attention. The rest of the territory is allocated alphabetically. It means there's no argument about where leads are allocated but it's not easy to split when you want to grow the team."

Chris, Practice Manager with a large management consultancy, highlights the problems that arise when territories are not clearly defined: "Our business is split between the public and private sectors with specialist teams for each. This segmentation seems to make sense for our business. We have experimented in the public sector with sub-dividing responsibilities below this level and this has caused problems. Consultants sold our services but we also had individuals assigned to manage government framework agreements and a dedicated sales team.

"The intention was to improve account coverage but instead we found that one customer might be buying from three different salespeople whilst other opportunities were missed because everyone assumed it was someone else's area. We also tried a product specific sales model but found that this prevented the individual from selling the company – their focus became too narrow."

Ian Wells agrees: "In my experience assigning products can result in salespeople competing with each other. I want my salespeople to sell everything. Product specialisation is for the technical team that supports them."

Making changes

So, if you want to change the way you allocate accounts what should you consider?

1. THE BIG PICTURE

Ensure changes are made within the context of a wider change process and support organisational goals.

2. CUSTOMER FOCUS

Customers should be the waters. Manage their expectations, involve them in the process and listen to their feedback.

3. WHERE ARE YOU NOW?

Understand your cure and weaknesses — people, accounts, the management, profit margins, produce and culture. Wells recome and culture: "Go out with your salespeed to their top customers are, give the management of their top customers are, give the management, and reality check. This should be a transfer of their sales efforts, don't them. It will give you the information make informed decisions."

4. THE IDEAL

What would you do it was seeing from scratch? How does this differ from when you are now? How could you migrate.

5. SALES CULTURE

What is your selections and the new scheme affect this? Wells to be aborative sales environment. It is individual and team performance to help each other to help eac

6. BUY-IN

Involve the team - and individuals - early in the process. Common focus group' to explore ideas and the best

SALES TERRITORY MANAGEMENT

solution. Most people are willing to compromise if it's their decision rather than one forced upon them.

7. STRENGTHS TO PLAY ON

What makes sense for other companies may not be right for your business. Consider the strengths of individuals, the team and the company and target efforts accordingly.

8. ATTENTION TO DETAIL

Consider as many 'what ifs' as possible. Anticipate how the changes may affect each individual personally and how to deal with any negative impact.

9. IMPLEMENTATION

It's rarely possible to make major territory change overnight without causing confusion amongst both sales team and, importantly, customer base. Conversely, some territory changes are phased in over such a long period, with so many 'special dispensations' that the new scheme is never fully implemented. Establish how quickly you can make changes without defocusing the team from its purpose.

10. PROGRESS MEASUREMENT

Establish key performance indicators to measure progress and ensure you achieve the benefits you were aiming for.

As Antonia explains: "As sales manager you're often damned if you do and damned if you don't'. If you can see a better way of organising the sales team's efforts then should do so. Short-term pain is justifiable if it leads longer-term gain for all. Just make sure you've thought through properly before you pass the point of no return."



ANNA BRITNOR GUEST FInstSMM is a co-founder and Managing Director of Lauriate Coaching Ltd, specialising in designing and delivering coaching and mentoring programmes within the IT sector. Anna works predominantly with salespeople and managers and is also co-founder of The Coaching & Mentoring Network, one of the

LK's leading web-based sources of free and independent information on coaching and mentoring.

Tel 0870 733 3313 www.lauriate.com www.coachingnetwork.org.uk



select**premiu**i

call. 020 8282 1622